IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

IN RE TAASERA LICENSING LLC, *\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$* PATENT LITIGATION Case No. 2:22-md-03042-JRG

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CIVIL ACTION NOS.:

2:21-cv-441-JRG 2:22-cv-063-JRG 2:22-cv-303-JRG 2:22-cv-314-JRG 2:22-cv-468-JRG

> **MOTION(s) HEARING MINUTES** HELD BEFORE U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE RODNEY GILSTRAP February 21, 2023

OPEN: 09:01 AM ADJOURN: 12:59 PM

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF: See attached

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS: See attached.

Patrick Clark LAW CLERKS:

Ryan Davies

COURT REPORTER: Shawn McRoberts, RMR, CRR

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Andrea Brunson, CP

<u>TIME</u>	MINUTE ENTRY
09:01 AM	Court opened.
09:03 AM	Court called for announcements from counsel.
09:06 AM	Court began hearing argument re: the following:
09:06 AM	Palo Alto Networks, Inc.'s Motion for Partial Judgment on the Pleadings of Patent-Ineligibility Under 35 U.S.C. § 101 [Dkt. No. 71 (2:22-md-3042); Dkt. No. 109 (2:22-
	ev-314)].
09:06 AM	Mr. Reig presented argument for Palo Alto Networks, Inc.
09:39 AM	Responsive argument by Mr. Mercadante for Taasera Licensing, LLC.
10:01 AM	Rebuttal argument by Mr. Reig.

TIME	MINUTE ENTRY
10:03 AM	Court heard argument re:
	• [SEALED] Taasera Licensing LLC's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal
	Jurisdiction, or in the Alternative, to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [Dkt.
	No. 11 (2:22-md-3042); Dkt. No. 87 (2:22-cv-314)]; and
	• [SEALED] Quest Patent Research Corporation's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of
	Subject Matter Jurisdiction, or in the Alternative, to Dismiss for Failure to State
	a Claim [Dkt. No. 14 (2:22-md-3042); Dkt. No. 89 (2:22-cv-314)].
10:04 AM	Mr. Fabricant presented argument for Taasera Licensing, LLC.
10:25 AM	Responsive argument by Mr. Rueckheim for Palo Alto Networks, Inc.
10:43 AM	Rebuttal argument by Mr. Fabricant.
10:50 AM	Recess.
11:01 AM	Court reconvened.
11:01 AM	Court heard argument re:
	CrowdStrike's Motion to Dismiss Complaint for Failure to State a Claim
	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.12(b)(6) [Dkt. No. 89 (2:22-md-3042); Dkt. No. 26
	(2:22-cv-468)].
11:02 AM	Ms. Yang presented argument for CrowdStrike, Inc.
11:09 AM	Responsive argument by Mr. Mercadante for Taasera Licensing, LLC.
11:15 AM	Court heard argument re:
	Motion to Dismiss Complaint for Declaratory Judgment for Lack of Subject
	Matter Jurisdiction, or in the Alternative, to Transfer or Stay Under the First-
	to-File Rule, and to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [Dkt. No. 14 (2:22-cv-
11:16 AM	303)].
11:10 AM 11:30 AM	Mr.Lambrianakos presented argument for Taasera Licensing, LLC.
11:51 AM	Responsive argument by Ms. Engelmann for Trend Micro, Inc.
11:51 AM 11:54 AM	Rebuttal argument by Mr. Lambrianakos. Court heard argument re:
11:34 AM	
	• Trend Micro Incorporated's Motion to Dismiss Taasera Licensing LLC's Amended Complaint Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (b)(6) [Dkt. No. 39 (2:21-
	cv-441)].
11:55 AM	Mr. Liddell presented argument for Trend Micro, Inc.
12:03 PM	Responsive argument by Mr. Mercadante for Taasera Licensing, LLC.
12:10 PM	Court heard argument re:
12.10 1 1	• Check Point Software Technologies Ltd.'s Motion for Partial Dismissal of
	Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint [Dkt. No. 26 (2:22-cv-63)].
12:10 PM	Ms. Mullins represented to the Court that Check Point Software Technologies Ltd. will
12.101111	rest upon the papers.
12:11 PM	Mr. Mercadante concurred on behalf of Taasera Licensing, LLC.
12:11 PM	Court heard argument re:
	• Trend Micro (Japan) Inc.'s Motion to Transfer Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)
	for Trial [Dkt. No. 45 (2:21-ev-441)].
12:11 PM	Mr. Bartow presented argument for Trend Micro (Japan) Inc.
12:41 PM	Responsive argument by Mr. Fabricant for Taasera Licensing, LLC.

TIME	MINUTE ENTRY
12:51 PM	Court heard argument re:
	Check Point Software Technologies Ltd.'s Motion to Transfer to the Northern
	District of California [Dkt. No. 23 (2:22-cv-63)].
12:52 PM	Mr. Findlay presented argument for Check Point Software Technologies Ltd.
12:56 PM	Responsive argument by Mr. Fabricant for Taasera Licensing, LLC.
12:59 PM	Arguments concluded.
12:59 PM	Court to take matters under submission.
12:59 PM	Court adjourned.